Message Text

PAGE 01 TOKYO 06444 01 OF 02 151033Z

11

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /026 W

----- 031926

P R 150928Z MAY 75 FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 0158 INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW AMEMBASSY TAIPEI AMCONSUL HONG KONG USLO PEKING

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 1 OF 2 TOKYO 6444

EXDIS

DEPT PASS CINCPAC

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1 TAGS: PFOR, CH, JA

SUBJ: JAPAN-CHINA PEACE TREATY NEGOTIATIONS DEADLOCKED

REF: TOKYO 5557; TOKYO 5876; TOKYO 6321

SUMMARY: GOJ HUDDLING WITH AMB TO PRC OGAWA TRYING TO DETERMINE COURSE TO PURSUE WITH PRC TO OVERCOME "HEGEMONY" ISSUE. FONMIN AND VICE FONMIN TOLD AMBASSADOR HODGSON CHINESE ARE ADAMANT ON INCLUSION OF "ANTI-HEGEMONY" CLAUSE IN BODY OF TREATY AND SO FAR CHINESE HAVE BEEN UNWILLING TO EVEN CONSIDER JAPANESE COMPROMISE PROPOSALS. JSP NARITA'S COMMUNIQUE WITH PEKING PROVIDES A POLITICALLY SALABLE EXCUSE FOR NOT YET CONCLUDING TREATY. MEANWHILE, BRINGING "HEGEMONY" DISPUTE TO TOKYO'S COCKTAIL CIRCUIT, SOVIET EMBASSY ADDED PRESSURE TO GOJ BY LEAKING WORD SOVIETS WOULD "CUT TIES" WITH JSP IN REACTION TO THE PEKING COMMUNIQUE AND PRC AMB CHEN HAS BEEN OVERHEAD LAMBASTING THE GOJ AT COCKTAIL RECEPTIONS. END SUMMARY.

1. DURING THEIR MEETING ON MAY 13, FONMIN MIYAZAWA COMMENTED BRIEFLY TO AMBASSADOR HODGSON ON THE PRESENT STATUS CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 TOKYO 06444 01 OF 02 151033Z

OF NEGOTIATIONS ON TE JAPAN-CHINA TREATY OF PEACE AND FRIENDSHIP. HE PREFACED HIS REMARKS BY STATING THAT THE PRIME MINISTER HAD BEEN RATHER "IRRITATED" WITH THE FOREIGN MINISTRY'S HANDLING OF THESE NEGOTIATIONS BOTH

IN TOKYO AND PEKING. HE DID NOT ELABORATE ON THE PRIME MINISTER'S "IRRITATION".

- 2. FONMIN THEN SAID THAT THE LAST JAPANESE MOVE HAD BEEN MADE THROUGH VICE-MIN TOGO'S SUGGESTION THAT REFERENCE TO THE HEGEMONY CONCEPT BE MADE IN THE PREAMBLE OF THE TREATY. THIS, HE EXPLAINED, WAS TO TEST WHETHER THE PRC HAD ANY FLEXIBILITY ON THIS ISSUE. PRC AMB CHEN HAD FINALLY RESPONDED THAT HIS GOVERNMENT WOULD SPEAK TO THIS NOTION IN PEKING.
- 3. FOLLOWING THE DEPARTURE OF PRC VICE PREMIER TENG HSIAO-PING FOR PARIS, AMB OGAWA HAD BEEN CALLED BACK TO TOKYO FOR CONSULTATIONS. ON THE EVE OF HIS DEPARTURE, AMB OGAWA HAD MET WITH PRC VICE-FONMIN HAN NIEN-LUNG. HE WAS TOLD THAT THE PRC WOULD ACCEPT NOTHING LESS THAN INCLUSION OF THE HEGEMONY CONCEPT,AS SET FORTH IN THE JAPAN-CHINA JOINT COMMUNIQUE OF 1972, BEING INCORPORATED AS ONE FO THE ARTICLES OF THE TREATY. FONMIN SAID THAT IT SEEMS CLEAR THE PRC REGARDS THIS ISSUE AS NON-NEGOTIABLE.
- 4. MIYAZAWA EXPRESSED SURPRISE AT THE STIFF ATTITUDE BEING TAKEN BY PEKING AND SAID THAT HE COULD SEE NO WAY AROUND THE PROBLEM. FOR ONE REASON OR ANTOHER, THE PRC CLEARLY IS NOT PREPARED TO NEGOTIATD ON ANY TERMS OTHER THAN THEIR OWN.
- 5. IN PRIVATE DISCUSSION WITH AMBASSADOR MAY 14, VICE FONMIN TOGO WENT FURTHER DESCRIBING PEKING'S ATTITUDE. WITH RESPECT TO THE PRC TREATY NEGOTIATIONS, TOGO SAID THAT PRC IS NOW TAKING WHAT AMOUNTS TO A "MILITANT" STANCE WITH RESPECT TO ITS INSISTENCE ON INCLUSION OF A HEGE-MONY CLAUSE. THIS ATTITUDE STARTED TO BECOME EVIDENT IN LATE APRIL AND IS EXEMPLIFIED BY (A) PRC UNWILLINGNESS TO CONSIDER REFERENCE TO THE SUBJECT IN THE PREAMBLE RATHER THAN THE ARTICLE STRUCTURE OF THE TREATY, (B) NEWLY EMEVING REFERENCES NOT ONLY TO THE USSR BUT TO THE UNITED CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 TOKYO 06444 01 OF 02 151033Z

STATES IN PRC ARGUMENTS FOR THE CLAUSE, (C) PRC UNWILLINGNESS TO RESPOND TO GOJ PROPOSALS ON THE SUBJECT MADE IN TOKYO IN ANY PLACE BUT PEKING, AND (D) A FLAT REJECTION OF GOJ REQUESTS TO EXPLORE ALTERNATE PROVISIONS OR REVISED LANGUAGE ON THE SUBJECT. IN RECENT PEKING DISCUSSIONS PRC FONMIN CHIAO KUAN-HUA TOLD ASIAN BUREAU DIGEN TAKASHIMA IN EFFECT THAT THE TREATY SOUGHT BY JAPAN WAS A MATTER OF INDIFFERENCE TO PRC ON ANY TERMS OTHER THAN PRC'S OWN.

6. TOGO STATED IF PRC PERSISTS IN THIS STANCE THRERE WILL BE NO TREATY THIS YEAR. PRIME MINISTER MIKI HAS BECOME ESPECIALLY ADAMANT IN THIS VIEW SINCE JSP NARITA'S TRIP TO THE PRC PRODUCED A STATEMENT IRKSOME TO GOJ. TOGO ASSUMES PRC MUST HAVE BEEN AWARE THEIR STATEMENT WILL THE JSP WOULD PRODUCE SUCH AN EFFECT ON GOJ AND WERE NOT DISTURBED BY THAT FACT.

7. TOGO ALSO SAYS HE IS STILL NOT CERTAIN WHAT PROMPTED THIS HARDENING OF THE PRC STANCE. HE ADDS THAT HE HAS THE IMPRESSION THAT PRC AMBASSADOR TO JAPAN CHEN IS NOW BEING CHASTISED FOR BEING TOO ACCOMMODATING IN EARLIER NEGOTIATIONS WITH TOGO. FINALLY IN SPITE OF ALL THE FOREGOING, TOGO IS STILL NOT WHOLLY DISCOUNTING THE LONG SHOT POSSIBILITY THAT THE PRC MAY MAKE A 180 DEGREE CHANGE IN THE FOREGOING "AT THE LAST MOMENT". HIS STATED REASON FOR THIS IS SOLELY THAT "THE COMMUNISTS OFTEN DO BUSINESS THAT WAY".

NOTE BY OC/T: NOT PASSED CINCPAC.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 TOKYO 06444 02 OF 02 151040Z

11

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /026 W

----- 032059

P R 150928Z MAY 75 FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 0159 INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW AMEMBASSY TAIPEI AMCONSUL HONG KONG USLO PEKING

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 2 OF 2 TOKYO 6444

EXDIS

DEPT PASS CINCPAC

8. FONMIN MIYAZAWA AND AMB TO PRC OGAWA ARE CONFERRING WITH PM MIKI AND OTHER SENIOR GOJ AND LDP LEADERS MAY 15 TO DETERMINE WHERE TO GO FROM HERE. CHINA DIV DEPDIR OGURA TOLD US TODAY (MAY 15) THAT ONE THING WAS CERTAIN: GOJ WOULD NOT WANT NEGOTIATIONS TO APPEAR TO BE RUPTURED OR EVEN SUSPENDED. DISCUSSIONS WITH CHINESE WILL CONTINUECX IN CONTRAST TO TOGO, UGURA SAID CHINESE HAD NOT HARDENED THEIR POSITION. VICE FONMIN HAN HAD BEEN AS INSISTENT ON "ANTI-HEGEMONY" CLAUSE LAST NOVEMBER AS HE WAS WITH AMB OGAWA THIS WEEK. OGURA EXPLAINS PEKING'S ADAMANT POSITION AS FUNCTION OF CHINESE UNCERTAINTLY ABOUT MIKI AND MIYAZAWAM CHINESE DO NOT HARBOR SERIOUS DOUBTS ABOUT CURRENT LEADERS BUT UNLIKE FORMER PM TANAKA AND FORMER FONMIN

OHIRA, MIKI AND MIYAZAWA HAVE PLAYED NO RECENT ROLE IN IMPROVING SINO-JAPANESE RELATIONS TO GIVE CHINESE FULL CONFIDENCE IN THEM. OGURA BELIEVES CHINESE SUSPECT THAT LEAK TP ORESS, AND INDEED THE CONTINUING PRESS DISCUSSION IN JAPAN OF "HEGEMONY" ISSUE, MAY HAVE BEEN PURPOSEFUL ON CURRENT JAPANESE LEADERS PART TO DELAY THE PEACE/AMITY TREATY. CHINESE ARE YET TO BE CONVINCED OF GENUINENESS OF COMMITMENT OF GOJ TOP LEADERS TO '72 JOINT COMMUNIQUE, ESPCIALLY REGARDING THEIR ATTITUDE TWOARD CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 TOKYO 06444 02 OF 02 151040Z

"HEGEMONY". UNTIL THEY ARE, OGURA BELIEVES PEKING WILL NOT BUDGE ON "HEGEMONY". ONE POSSIBILITY FOR OVERCOMING THESE SUSPICIONS, OGURA SAID, WOULD BE FOR EITHER PM MIKI OR MIYAZAWA TO GO TO PEKING AS DEMONSTRATION OF "GOOD FAITH". CHINESE COULD USE SUCH AN OCCASION TO DISCLOSE WHATEVER COMPROMISE THEY HAVE IN THEIR PCKETS. OGURA ACKNOWLEDGED THE HAZARD OF MIKI OR MIYAZAWA FALLING INTO SAME TRAP AS JSP HEAD NARITA LAST WEEK AND THE DANGERS TO EITHER LEADER'S POLITICAL FUTURE IF HE SHOULD. OGURA ALSO STRESSED THAT SUCH A TRIP WAS ONLY ONE POSSIBILITY AND NOT NECESSARILY UNDER CONSIDERATIGC BY TOP LEADERS.

9. WE RAISED QUESTION WITH OGURA OF WHETHER PEKING MIGHT BE HARDENING THEIR STANCE IN AFTERMATHOF INDOCHINA DEVELOPMENTS OUT OF FEAR THAT SOVIET UNION WOULD MORE AGGRESSIVELY SEEK HEGEMONY PERHAPS THROUGH ITS COLLECTIVE SECURITY SCHEME. TO ASSURE JAPAN'S SUPPORT IN OPPOSING SOVIET DESIGNS, CHINESE MIGHT NOW BE ALL THE MORE DESIROUS (AND INSISTENT) ON "ANTI-HEGEMONY" CLAUSE. OGURA DISCOUNTED THIS HYPOTHESIS AND REITEREATED HIS ASSESSMENT OUTLINED ABOVE.

10. TOKYO'S COCKTAIL CIRCUITS ARE AWASH WITH TALES ABOUT "HEGEMONY" DEVELOPMENTS. TWO STAND OUT. SOVIET EMBASSY APPARENTLY LEAKED STORY THAT SOVIETS WOULD CUT TIES WITH JSP AS RESULT OF NARITA'S COMMUNIQUE WITH PEKING. ASIDE FROM WHETHER THEY WOULD ACTUALLY CUT TIES, SOVIETS MAY BE IMPLICITLY THREATENING TO PUT GREATER PRESSURE ON GOJ TO AVOID AGREEING TO PEKING'S TERMS FOR A TREATY. FRIEDNLY DIPLOMAT ALSO TOLD US THAT HE OVERHEAD PRC AMB CHEN CHU AT CZECH RECEPTION EXCORIATING GOJ IN A VERY LOUD VOICE TO ROMANIAN AMB FOR OBSTINACY ON "HEGEMONY" ISSUE.

NOTE BY OC/T: NOT PASSED CINCPAC.

CONFIDENTIAL

HODGSON

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006	
<< END OF DOCUMENT >>	

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 26 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: FOREIGN RELATIONS, HEGEMONY, AGREEMENTS, NEGOTIATIONS, PUBLIC ATTITUDES

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 15 MAY 1975 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975TOKY006444

Document Number: 1975TOKYO06444
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 XGDS-1

Errors: n/a Film Number: D750170-1127 From: TOKYO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197505100/baaaafjt.tel Line Count: 215

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION SS

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: GolinoFR

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 21 MAY 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <21 MAY 2003 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <22 MAY 2003 by GolinoFR>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE Subject: n/a

TAGS: PFOR, CH, JA, JSP To: STATE INFO MOSCOW

TAIPEI HONG KONG **PEKING**

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2000	5